1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER (SBN # 185928) Thomas@ThomasChristopherLaw.com THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER 555 California Street, Suite 4925 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 659-1805 Facsimile: (415) 659-1950 Attorneys for Plaintiff 3taps, Inc. JONATHAN H. BLAVIN (SBN 230269) jonathan.blavin@mto.com NICHOLAS D. FRAM (SBN 288293) nicholas.fram@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Attorneys for Defendant LinkedIn Corporation		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16	S/HVI IVIIVEIS	CODIVISION	
17	2tons Inc	Case No. 18-cv-00855-EMC	
18	3taps, Inc.,		
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
20	VS.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
21	LinkedIn Corporation,	Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen	
22	Defendant.	Action Filed: February 8, 2018 Trial Date: None Set	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		18-cv-00855-EMC	
	OTHER A TRONG A NO	IDDODOGEDI ODDED	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 WHEREAS, Plaintiff 3taps, Inc. ("3taps") filed the complaint in the above-captioned 3 action against Defendant LinkedIn Corporation ("LinkedIn") on February 8, 2018 (ECF No. 1); 4 WHEREAS the complaint in the above-captioned action consists of a single count for a 5 declaratory judgment that LinkedIn cannot assert the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 6 1030 ("CFAA") to prevent 3taps from accessing certain portions of LinkedIn's website; 7 WHEREAS, on February 23, 2018, this action was reassigned to this Court as related to 8 hiQ Labs, Inc. v. LinkedIn Corp., No. 17-cv-03301-EMC (the "hiQ Action") (see ECF No. 97 in 9 No. 17-cv-03301-EMC); 10 WHEREAS, on March 9, 2020, LinkedIn filed a petition for a writ of certiorari in the 11 Supreme Court of the United States in the hiQ Action ("Petition") concerning a question 12 concerning the scope of the CFAA, the outcome of which may impact the viability of the 13 declaratory judgment that 3taps seeks in this action; 14 WHEREAS, the Court entered a scheduling order in this case whereby LinkedIn's deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, the resumption of discovery, and other deadlines 15 16 depend on the date that the Supreme Court either rules on LinkedIn's Petition, or issues an opinion 17 on the merits in the hiQ Action (ECF No. 30); 18 WHERAS, the Supreme Court likely will not consider the Petition until the end of 19 September, 2020, based on its current briefing schedule; 20 NOW, THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND 21 AGREED: 22 1. The case management conference currently set for July 23, 2020 is continued to December 17, 2020 at 1:30 p.m. 9:30 a.m. Joint report due December 10, 2020. 23 24 2. Nothing in this stipulation or order affects any other deadline in ECF No. 30. 25 26 27

28

- 1	1	
1	DATED: June 16, 2020	THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER
2		CHRISTOPHER
3	By:	/s/ Thomas V. Christopher
4	25.	THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff 3taps, Inc.
6		
7	DATED: June 16, 2020	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
8		
9	By:	/s/ Jonathan H. Blavin
10		JONATHAN H. BLAVIN
11		Attorneys for Defendant LinkedIn Corporation
12	N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 5-1 Attestation	
13	I, Jonathan H. Blavin, am the ECF user whose credentials were utilized in the electronic	
14	filing of this document. In accordance with N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 5-1, I hereby attest that	
15	Thomas V. Christopher concurred in the filing of this document.	
16		/s/ Jonathan H. Blavin
17		Jonathan H. Blavin
18 19		
20	IDDADO	OSEDLORDER
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	[PROPOSED] ORDER Pursuant to Stipulation, it is SO ORDERED.	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	Taisaant to Supulation, it is 50 ORD	
23	DATED: June _22, 2020	
24	271125. Julie _22, 2020	
25		Egh
26		The Honorable Edward M. Chen
27		
28		